What are the **KEY FOCUS AREAS?**

in Pharma Lab

DE56373591



Impact of USP <621> Guidance on Laboratory Efficiency (HPLC)



Overall goals for the future in the quest to "*improve human health*"







including laboratory manager, director and supervisor



Primary Concerns of Pharma Lab Leader are:-







Achieving quicker results is the number #1 concern for pharma lab leaders (55%)

65% say the most important type of laboratory innovations are those that increase efficiency

38% of those who work with generic medicines say one of the most common challenges is the increased demand to get these medicines to market quickly

Organization needs:-

- Increased capacity
- Shorter time to market
- Increased profitability

Laboratory needs:-

- Better use of resources
- Increase productivity
- Reduce costs





Achieving better results is more crucial than ever before with increased performance expectations placed on laboratory technologies and innovations

41% cite innovations that **improve instrument performance and sensitivity** as being one of the most important innovations for their labs

Translation in actions:-

- Maximize ALL the Instruments in the Lab •
- Faster Analysis with Good Resolution 0
- More Analysis & Longer Column Lifetime •



said that they are looking to buy **more sophisticated instruments with a greater** 85% **degree of specificity**, in order to progress medicines through the pipeline more quickly









Impact of USP <621> Guidance on Laboratory Efficiency (HPLC)

What else do you need to know?

Wimut Sermsri Business Development Specialist Chemistries and Supplies Division Agilent Technologies





Understanding USP <621>

Increasing Productivity & Reducing Operational Cost









Achieving quicker results is the number #1 concern for pharma lab leaders (55%)



- Increase manpower
- **Increase** instrument
- Save cost



What is Chapter <621> All About?

Chapter <621> provides the definition of allowable adjustments to chromatography systems in order to meet system suitability (SS) requirements.

DE56373591

$\left< 621 \right> CHROMATOGRAPHY$

INTRODUCTION

Chromatographic separation techniques are multistage separation methods in which the components of a sample are distributed between two phases, of which one is stationary and the other is mobile. The stationary phase may be a solid or a liquid supported on a solid or a gel. The stationary phase may be packed in a column, spread as a layer, distributed as a film, or applied by other techniques. The mobile phase may be in a gaseous or liquid form, or a supercritical fluid. The separation may be based on adsorption, mass distribution (partition), or ion exchange; or it may be based on differences among the physicochemical properties of the molecules, such as size, mass, and volume. This chapter contains general procedures, definitions, and calculations of common parameters and describes general requirements for system suitability. The types of chromatography useful in qualitative and quantitative analyses employed in *USP* procedures are column, gas (GC), paper, thin-layer (TLC) [including high-performance thin-layer chromatography (HPTLC)], and pressurized liquid chromatography [commonly called high-pressure or high-performance liquid chromatography (HPLC)].

▼ USP-NF to be updated Dec 1, 2022

USP-NF July 31, 2019





Concerns! Revalidation

Impact of USP <621> Guidance on Laboratory Efficiency (HPLC)

- The USP has specified the limits of chromatography allowable adjustments in the general chapter <621>
- Adjustments made to chromatography systems <u>within</u> the limits of chapter <621> <u>do not need</u> <u>method revalidation</u>
- After adjustments system suitability (SS) test rules still apply
- Modifications <u>outside these limits</u> are considered "changes" and <u>require revalidation</u>.
- Changes to the "L designation" of the column chemistry requires revalidation.

Why are adjustments allowed?

- Adjustments may be necessary to meet system suitability requirements
- Adjustment could be desirable due to the fact that not all methods in the USP are modern technology (hence, implementing modern technology to improve current chromatographic methods)

https://hmc.usp.org/system/files/general-chapters/GC-Pdfs_2019/_621_%20CHROMATOGRAPHY.pdf



Allowable Adjustments

What is NEW?

Variable	Isocratic	Gradient
Particle Size	Per constant L/dp or N; -	No adjustments allowed
Column Length	25% to + 50%	
Flow Rate	Base on particle size and ±50%	No adjustments allowed
Column ID	Any allowed	No adjustments allowed
Injection Volume	Any allowed	Any allowed
Column Temperature	± 10°C	± 10°C
Mobile phase pH	± 0.2 units	± 0.2 units

- Adjustments to the composition of the MB in gradient elution may cause change of selectivity, hence is not recommended
- Change in column packing (maintain the same chemistry), duration of an initial isocratic hold (if present in the official method) and/or dwell time adjustments are allowed

Gradient Elution is allowed.

Variable	Isocratic	Gradient
Particle Size	Per constant L/dp or	L/dp: -25% to +50%
Column Length	N; - 25% to + 50%	or Ratio $(t_R/W_h)^2$ -25% to +50%
Flow Rate	Base on particle size and ±50%	Based on dp: $F_2=F_1\times[(dc_2^2\times dp_1)/(dc_1^2\times dp_2)]$ Additional adjustments: ±50%, provided N decreases ≤20%
Column ID	Any allowed	Flexible, w/ constant linear velocity
Injection Volume	Any allowed	Any allowed
Column Temperature	± 10°C	± 5°C
Mobile phase pH	± 0.2 units	± 0.2 units



New Allowable Adjustment (cont.)

Gradient Adjustment

 A change in column dimensions, and thus in column volume, impacts the gradient volume which controls selectivity. Gradients are adjusted to the column volume by changing the gradient volume in proportion to the column volume. This applies to every gradient segment volume.

$$t_{G2} = t_{G1} \times \left(F_1/F_2\right) \left[\left(L_2 \times dc_2^2\right) / \left(L_1 \times dc_1^2\right) \right]$$

- Thus, the change in conditions for gradient elution requires three steps:
 - Adjust the column length and particle size according to *L/dp*.
 - Adjust the flow rate for changes in particle size and column diameter.
 - Adjust the gradient time of each segment for changes in column length, diameter, and flow rate. The example below illustrates this process.



New Allowable Adjustment Gradient Adjustment

- 1. An 11% increase within allowed L/dp change of -25% to +50%
- 2. Calculated using $F_2 = F_1 [(dc_2^2 x dp_1)/(dc_1^2 x dp_2)]$
- 3. Calculated using $t_{G2} = t_{G1} \times (F_1/F_2) [(L_2 \times dc_2^2)/(L_1 \times dc_1^2)]$

Column temperature: ±5°C, where the operating temperature is specified, unless otherwise prescribed Further adjustment in procedure conditions (mobile phase, temperature, pH, etc.) may be required, within the permitted ranges described under System Suitability and Adjustment of Chromatographic Conditions in this Chapter.

Variable	Original Conditions	Adjusted Conditions	Comment
Column length (L), in mm	150	100	User's choice
Column diameter (<i>dc</i>), in mm	4.6	2.1	User's choice
Particle size (<i>dp</i>), in μm	5	3	User's choice
L/dp	30.0	33.3	(1)
Flow rate, in mL/min	2.0	0.7	(2)
Gradient adjustment factor (t_{G2}/t_{G1})	-	0.4	(3)
Gradient conditions	-	-	-
B(%)	Time (min)	Time (min)	
30	0	0	-
30	3	$(3 \times 0.4) = 1.2$	-
70	13	$[1.2 + (10 \times 0.4)] = 5.2$	-
30	16	$[5.2 + (3 \times 0.4)] = 6.4$	-

Source: https://online.uspnf.com/uspnf/document/1_GUID-6C3DF8B8-D12E-4253-A0E7-6855670CDB7B_2_en-US



What are the column OPTIONS?

- Smaller particle size
 - Higher efficiency >> Shorter column >> Faster Method
 - Increase resolution
 - Better sensitivity
 - Consider pressure limit of instrument
- Smaller diameter
 - Solvent saving
 - Depends on instrument configuration and plumbing
- Bonded phase
 - Match USP designation
 - More robust column lifetime
 - Consider a different bonded phase?



What's about guard column? Allowable Adjustment: USP Chapter <162>

Unless otherwise indicated in the monograph, a *guard column may be used*. The following requirements applies:

- All system suitability test specified in the monograph must be met with the guard installed
- The length of the guard column must be no more than 15% of the length of the analytical column
- The inner diameter must be the same or smaller than that of the analytical column
- The *packing material should be the same as the analytical column* and contain the same bonded phase











The Advantages of Superficially Porous Particle Poroshell





Agilent's Premium Column





Brand/ Subject	Zorbax	Poroshell
Particle Technology	Totally porous particle	Superficially porous particle
Particle Size Available (µm)	5, 3.5, 1.8	4, 2.7, 1.9







The Advantages of Superficially Porous Particle



- Totally Porous Particles (Zorbax)
 - Diffusion throughout particle
- Superficially Porous Particles (Poroshell)
 - Diffusion limited to outer shell

van Deemter equation: $h = A + B / v + C \cdot v$

- Results:
 - Lower C term
 - Higher efficiency
- And

- Higher flow rate with
- Minimal impact on efficiency



van Deemter Equation



Pressure Efficiency 1.9 um Poroshell 1.8 um Zorbax 2.7 um Poroshell 4 um Poroshell

A = eddy diffusion

- B = diffusion of analytes along column
- C = Resistance to mass transfer







Optimal Flow Rates For Agilent InfinityLab Poroshell 120 Columns Smaller particles have higher optimal flow rates



- Operating below or above the column's optimal flow rate negatively impacts efficiency (and also resolution)
- When comparing column dimensions, flow rates should be geometrically scaled maintain a constant linear velocity



Cost of Short Column Lifetimes

- More columns to purchase
- Disruption to workflow
- Re-work required



Number of injections

Brand	Cost/ Column	# Column for 5,000 Injections	LC Column Cost for 5,000 Injections
Agilent	\$ 750	1	\$ 750 x 1 = \$ 750
Brand H	\$ 500	2	\$ 500 x 2 = \$ 1,000
Band P	\$ 400	5	\$ 400 x 5 = \$ 2,000
Brand W	\$ 800	10	\$ 800 x 10 = \$8,000



Concerns!



A change from totally porous particle (TPP) columns to superficially porous particle (SPP) columns *is allowed*...

Liquid Chromatography: Gradient Elution

Adjustment of chromatographic conditions for gradient systems requires greater caution than for isocratic systems.

COLUMN PARAMETERS AND FLOW RATE

Stationary phase: No change of the identity of the substituent (e.g., no replacement of C18 by C8); the other physicochemical characteristics of the stationary phase i.e., chromatographic support, surface modification, and extent of chemical modification must be similar; a change from totally porous particle (TPP) columns to superficially porous particle (SPP) columns is allowed provided the above-mentioned requirements are met.



Summary of Allowable Adjustments per USP General Chapter <621> Gradient Adjustment

Adjustments of the composition of the mobile phase and the *gradient are acceptable* provided that:

The system suitability criteria are fulfilled.

The principal peak(s) elute(s) *within* ±15% of the retention time(s) obtained with the original conditions; this requirement does not apply when the column dimensions are changed.

The composition of the mobile phase and the gradient are such that the first peaks are *sufficiently retained* and the *last peaks are eluted*.



Method Parameters: Official USP Method Benzocaine Lozenges An Example

Parameters	Values
Buffer	1 M monobasic potassium phosphate, adjusted to pH 3 with phosphoric acid
Mobile Phase	Acetonitrile: Water : Buffer (250:700:50)
Flow Rate	1.5 ml/min
Injection Volume	20 µl
Detector	280 nm, for Identification test A use a diode array detector
Diluent A	0.1 N Hydrochloric Acid
Diluent B	Acetonitrile & Water (1:1)
Standard 1	0.01 mg/ml Benzocaine RS in Diluent A
Standard 2	0.01 mg/ml Benzocaine RS in Diluent B
System Suitability	Standard Solutions A & B, Tailing Factor NMT 1.5, RSD NMT 2.0%





USP Benzocaine Lozenge Assay Method Zorbax Eclipse Plus C8 → Poroshell 120 EC-C8



With superficially porous columns a second avenue to adjustment exist with the efficiency of the column adjustment range

N: -25% to +50%

In this case the efficiency of the proposed column is measured with the API of interest and compared with the efficiency the comparison column as listed in the method.

In this case the N=17078 and so a window exists where the efficiency is acceptable between $\left(\frac{100-25}{100}\right) \ge 17,078 \le \left(\frac{100+50}{100}\right)$ or between 12,808 and 25,617 based on L/dp column we can see that a shorter column could meet the N requirement, we need to prove it with the API in the method.



Based on this we have a solution to *reduce* the column *length from 250 mm to 100mm or 75 mm*. This is 40 or 30 % of the original column length using a standard product. We will *save up to 70% of time* and *solvent* at the <u>same flow rate</u>



USP Benzocaine Lozenge Assay Method Zorbax Eclipse Plus C8 to Poroshell 120 EC-C8





USP Allowable Adjustments Benzocaine Assay to 4.6x 150, 100 and 75 mm 2.7µm Poroshell 120 EC-C8



Column	Column Length (L, mm)	Particle Size (dp, µm)	L/dp Ratio	Allowable L/dp Range (-25%- +50 %)	N Benzocaine Standard	Allowable N Range (-25%- +50 %)	% Time Saving	Pressure (Bar)
Zorbax Eclipse Plus C8	250	5	50,000	37,500-75,000	17,078	12,808 - 25,617		176
Poroshell 120 EC-C8	150	4	37,500	Meets specification ☺☺☺	Meets 23,943 No specification		40%	264
Poroshell 120 EC-C8	150	2.7	55,555	Meets specification ☺☺☺	14,174	No need to check	40%	421
Poroshell 120 EC-C8	100	2.7	37,037	Does not meet specification ເ∂ເ∂ເ⊗	18,325	Meets Specification (+7%)	60%	314
Poroshell 120 EC-C8	75	2.7	27,778	Does not meet specification ເ⊗ເ⊗ເ⊗	14,128	Meets Specification (-17%)	70%	287

DE56373591

No change in flow rate is made



Results of the System Suitability Tests

	System Suitability Requirements	Poroshell 12 4.6x75 mm 2 1.5 ml/min S	0 EC-C8 .7um td A	Poroshell 120 4.6x75 mm 2.7 1.5 ml/min Std	hell 120 EC-C85 mm 2.7 um/min Std B1.0rea0.3%ention0.2%			
USP Tailing Factor	NMT 2.0	1.	0	1.0	0			
Relative Standard		Area	0.3 %	Area	rea 0.3%			
Deviation (RSD)	NMT 2.0 %	Retention Time	0.3%	Retention Time	0.2%			

NMT : (Not More Than)

5 replicates were used.



Agilent Application Note (5990-7456EN) Analysis of Naproxen Using Poroshell 120 EC-C18



The chromatographic and performance requirements of the method are listed in the USP method. These are summarized below. [1]

- 4.6 mm × 150 mm column, L1 column (C18)
- N of the analyte not less than 4000 plates
- Resolution between the analyte and internal standard peaks is not less than 11.5

Торіс	Zorbax EC-C18 4.6x150mm 5µm	Poroshell EC- C18 4.6x100mm 2.7µm
Analysis Time (mins)	~9	5
Sample/ hr	7	12
Sample/ day	56	96
Sample/ week	280	480
Solvent Used (mL)	10.8	6

d							Method	Requirement	t N > 4000 Rs	better than 11	1.5	
	mAI 3 2 2 1 1	U 30 - 25 - 20 - 15 - 10 - 5 -	Agilent ZORBAX 4.6 mm × 150 mm 1.2 mL/min 50:49:1 MeCN:H 20 µL injection	Eclipse Plus n (L1), 5 µm, p 20:Glacial Ac	C18,)/n 959993-902 etic Acid	4.483			7.516	L/dP	= 30,00	00
		° – –						1 1 1 1 1			1 1 1 1 1	
_ 1			1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	min





Agilent InfinityLab HPLC Advisor HPLC Advisor Application









HPLC Advisor Application



Tool for Any Brand of HPLC





Free to Download

Available on







HPLC Advisor app Method Conversion



					Translat	ed column geo	ometry				
					 50 Column	mm length	2,1 Column diar	mm	C	1 riginal nethod	2 Translated method
					1,8 Particle	size		μm			
Your o	original column	geometry	,		Translat	ed system		()			
150 Colum) mm	4,6 Colum	n diamet	nm er	100 Dwell vo	lume		μL			
5			ł	um	Translat	ed experiment	tal conditions	L			
Partic	le size				0,57	9	m	L/min			
Your o	original system			i	Flow rat	e					
100	00			μL	1,4			μL		Pre	ferred flow rate
Dwell	volume				Injectior	n volume				1	
Your o	original experim	ental con	ditions		Geomet	ric translation	of the gradier	^{it} (j)		Flov	w rate
1			mL/n	nin	Step	Time (min)	%A	%B		Мо	dified translation gr
Flow r	ate				1	0,00	80	20		Ste	p Time (min)
20				μL						-	0.00
Injecti	on volume				2	0,19	80	20		-	0,00
	Suggested rec	ondition	ning step		3	1,75	5	95		2	0,11
	14	4 min			4	1,87	80	20		3	1,01
					5	3,55	80	20		4	1,08
Your o	riginal gradient Time (min)	profile %A	%B		s	Suggested rec	onditioning s	tep:		5	2,05
	0,00	80	20	0		2	min				
2	2,00	80	20	0	Perform	ance of transl	ated method	(i)		Per	formance of transla
3	15,00	5	95	0	Efficier	тсу	÷	1,1		Effi	ciency
1	16,00	80	20	0	Pressu	re	×	7,1		Pre	ssure
5	30,00	80	20	0	Analys	is time	÷	8,5		Ana	alysis time
	+ Ad	ld step			Solven	t consumptic	on ÷	14,6		Sol	vent consumption

				In	f	A	gile Nit	nt V	L
Save da	ıta entry								
Add to home s	screen								
Export as PDF]				
Agilent HPLC Adviso Gradient Me	r Calculator thod Tra	nsla	tion					Ini	inityL
Method				Original method		Translat metho	ed tra	Modifie nslation p	d rofile*
Column geometry Column length Column diameter Particle size Porosity (c)				150 mm 4.6 mm 5 μm 0.55		50 m 2.1 m 1.8 μr 0.55	m m n		
Dwell volume Experimental condition Flow rate Injection volume	ns			1300 μL 1 mL/min 20 μL	0	100 μl .579 m 1.4 μl	L/min	1 mi.	/min
Additional gradient in Suggested recondition	formation ning step			14 min		2 m	in		
Gradient profile	Original gra	dient pr	ofile	Translated gr	adient p	rofile	Modified	radient p	ofile*
Step	Time (min)	3.4	18	Time (min)	\$4	3.8	Time (min)	3.4	38
Initial conditions	0.00	80	20	0.00	80	20	0.0	0 80	20
Initial hold	2.00	80	20	0.22	80	20	0.1	80	20
3	15.00	5	95	1.78	5	95	1.0	3 5	95
4	16.00	80	20	1.90	80	20	1.1	0 80	20
5	30.00	80	20	3.58	80	20	2.0 Contin	7 80	20 ext page
								2	A

Modified translation

profile

mL/min

ent profile

%A %B

80 20

method

20 80

95 5

20 80

20 80

÷ 1,1

X 12,3

÷ 14,6

÷ 14,6

Step Time (

2

3

4

5

DE56373591

Agilent

